Revista Infoacceso
e-ISSN 2311-7605 (Online)
infoacceso.org/index.php/ri

Volume 13 · Issue 3 · 2026
Pages 3198–3201

Acupressure and Respiratory Symptom Relief

Professor Saffron Finch

School of Avian Behavioral Ecology, University of Otago (New Zealand)

Received: 22/03/2026 | **Accepted:** 11/08/2026 | **Published:** 02/11/2026

***Abstract*—**This paper reviews acupressure and respiratory symptom relief as a complementary-care topic. It outlines the rationale for studying acupressure, considers published evidence, discusses implementation and safety, and identifies priorities for future research. The discussion focuses on the patient group or care setting identified in the title. Relevant outcomes include condition-specific symptoms, function, safety, acceptability, and quality of life. This is a narrative literature-based draft, not a report of a newly conducted clinical trial. It does not present original participant data or new statistical analysis. Existing reviews suggest that results vary by condition and protocol, and methodological limitations affect confidence in findings. Acupressure should be considered, where appropriate, only as a possible adjunct to usual care and not as a replacement for diagnosis or established treatment.

***Keywords*—**acupressure; acupressure and respiratory symptom relief; complementary care; symptom management; evidence review; patient safety

Introduction

Acupressure is a non-invasive practice in which pressure is applied to selected points on the body. It is commonly discussed within traditional East Asian health systems and is also studied as a complementary approach for symptom management. Popularity alone does not establish effectiveness, so its possible benefits should be assessed against clinical evidence, safety, and patient priorities. For acupressure and respiratory symptom relief, the central question is whether a clearly described protocol can produce a meaningful and reproducible change in condition-specific symptoms, function, safety, acceptability, and quality of life. Studies should define the target population as the patient group or care setting identified in the title, specify comparison conditions, and distinguish immediate response from longer-term outcomes. A change observed in one setting cannot automatically be transferred to another. Where evidence is uncertain, the appropriate conclusion is uncertainty rather than an assumption of effectiveness.

Research quality depends on transparent methods, prespecified outcomes, adequate sample sizes, and balanced reporting. Reviews should distinguish randomized trials from observational work and should consider both clinical significance and statistical uncertainty. Patient preferences and feasibility also matter, but they do not substitute for evidence of benefit.

Conceptual and Historical Background

Acupressure is commonly described as applying manual pressure to points also used in acupuncture, without inserting needles. Protocols differ in point selection, pressure, duration, frequency, and practitioner training. These differences matter because the label can cover interventions that are not identical. A clear description is essential for interpretation and replication. For acupressure and respiratory symptom relief, the central question is whether a clearly described protocol can produce a meaningful and reproducible change in condition-specific symptoms, function, safety, acceptability, and quality of life. Studies should define the target population as the patient group or care setting identified in the title, specify comparison conditions, and distinguish immediate response from longer-term outcomes. A change observed in one setting cannot automatically be transferred to another. Where

© 2026 The Author(s). Published by Revista Infoacceso.

evidence is uncertain, the appropriate conclusion is uncertainty rather than an assumption of effectiveness.

Research quality depends on transparent methods, prespecified outcomes, adequate sample sizes, and balanced reporting. Reviews should distinguish randomized trials from observational work and should consider both clinical significance and statistical uncertainty. Patient preferences and feasibility also matter, but they do not substitute for evidence of benefit.

Possible Mechanisms and Theoretical Explanations

Proposed explanations include sensory stimulation, modulation of attention and pain processing, relaxation responses, and contextual effects. Traditional meridian explanations are part of the historical framework, but should not be presented as established biomedical mechanisms. Studies need suitable comparison groups and measurements to distinguish mechanisms. For acupressure and respiratory symptom relief, the central question is whether a clearly described protocol can produce a meaningful and reproducible change in condition-specific symptoms, function, safety, acceptability, and quality of life. Studies should define the target population as the patient group or care setting identified in the title, specify comparison conditions, and distinguish immediate response from longer-term outcomes. A change observed in one setting cannot automatically be transferred to another. Where evidence is uncertain, the appropriate conclusion is uncertainty rather than an assumption of effectiveness.

Research quality depends on transparent methods, prespecified outcomes, adequate sample sizes, and balanced reporting. Reviews should distinguish randomized trials from observational work and should consider both clinical significance and statistical uncertainty. Patient preferences and feasibility also matter, but they do not substitute for evidence of benefit.

Review of Research Evidence

Reviews report promising findings for selected outcomes, including some pain conditions, nausea, sleep-related symptoms, and stress-related measures. Findings differ by condition and protocol, and evidence quality varies. Methodological limitations can include small samples, inconsistent intervention descriptions, and difficulty blinding participants. Results should not be generalized to all illnesses. For acupressure and respiratory symptom relief, the central question is whether a clearly described protocol can produce a meaningful and reproducible change in condition-specific symptoms, function, safety, acceptability, and quality of life. Studies should define the target population as the patient group or care setting identified in the title, specify comparison conditions, and distinguish immediate response from longer-term outcomes. A change observed in one setting cannot automatically be transferred to another. Where evidence is uncertain, the appropriate conclusion is uncertainty rather than an assumption of effectiveness.

Research quality depends on transparent methods, prespecified outcomes, adequate sample sizes, and balanced reporting. Reviews should distinguish randomized trials from observational work and should consider both clinical significance and statistical uncertainty. Patient preferences and feasibility also matter, but they do not substitute for evidence of benefit.

Practical Application and Protocol Considerations

Clinical or educational discussions should specify who applies pressure, which points are used, the pressure and duration, session frequency, and whether usual care continues. Pressure should not cause bruising, numbness, or worsening symptoms. Protocols should be adapted to individual circumstances and delivered within an appropriate professional scope. For acupressure and respiratory symptom relief, the central question is whether a clearly described protocol can produce a meaningful and reproducible change in condition-specific symptoms, function, safety, acceptability, and quality of life. Studies should define the target population as the patient group or care setting identified in the title, specify comparison conditions, and distinguish immediate response from longer-term outcomes. A change observed in one setting cannot automatically be transferred to another. Where evidence is uncertain, the appropriate conclusion is uncertainty rather than an assumption of effectiveness.

Research quality depends on transparent methods, prespecified outcomes, adequate sample sizes, and balanced reporting. Reviews should distinguish randomized trials from observational work and should consider both clinical significance and statistical uncertainty. Patient preferences and feasibility also matter, but they do not substitute for evidence of benefit.

Safety, Ethics, and Limitations

Acupressure is non-invasive but is not automatically risk-free or suitable for everyone. People with unexplained severe symptoms, significant injury, bleeding risks, fragile skin, or complex medical conditions should seek qualified advice. It should not delay diagnosis or replace evidence-based treatment. Human-participant research requires appropriate ethics and adverse-event reporting. For acupressure and respiratory symptom relief, the central question is whether a clearly described protocol can produce a meaningful and reproducible change in condition-specific symptoms, function, safety, acceptability, and quality of life. Studies should define the target population as the patient group or care setting identified in the title, specify comparison conditions, and distinguish immediate response from longer-term outcomes. A change observed in one setting cannot automatically be transferred to another. Where evidence is uncertain, the appropriate conclusion is uncertainty rather than an assumption of effectiveness.

Research quality depends on transparent methods, prespecified outcomes, adequate sample sizes, and balanced reporting. Reviews should distinguish randomized trials from observational work and should consider both clinical significance and statistical uncertainty. Patient preferences and feasibility also matter, but they do not substitute for evidence of benefit.

Implications for Practice and Future Research

Future studies would benefit from adequately powered randomized designs, transparent registration, standardized reporting, credible comparison conditions, and patient-relevant outcomes. Researchers should report benefits and adverse events, follow participants long enough to assess durability, and consider feasibility across settings. Clinicians should communicate uncertainty honestly. For acupressure and respiratory symptom relief, the central question is whether a clearly described protocol can produce a meaningful and reproducible change in condition-specific symptoms, function, safety, acceptability, and quality of life. Studies should define the target population as the patient group or care setting identified in the title, specify comparison conditions, and distinguish immediate response from longer-term outcomes. A change observed in one setting cannot automatically be transferred to another. Where evidence is uncertain, the appropriate conclusion is uncertainty rather than an assumption of effectiveness.

Research quality depends on transparent methods, prespecified outcomes, adequate sample sizes, and balanced reporting. Reviews should distinguish randomized trials from observational work and should consider both clinical significance and statistical uncertainty. Patient preferences and feasibility also matter, but they do not substitute for evidence of benefit.

Conclusion

The literature suggests acupressure may have a role as a complementary approach for selected symptoms, but evidence is not uniform across conditions. It should be evaluated as a defined intervention, not a universal treatment. Responsible practice requires attention to safety, patient preference, and access to appropriate medical care. More rigorous research is needed. For acupressure and respiratory symptom relief, the central question is whether a clearly described protocol can produce a meaningful and reproducible change in condition-specific symptoms, function, safety, acceptability, and quality of life. Studies should define the target population as the patient group or care setting identified in the title, specify comparison conditions, and distinguish immediate response from longer-term outcomes. A change observed in one setting cannot automatically be transferred to another. Where evidence is uncertain, the appropriate conclusion is uncertainty rather than an assumption of effectiveness.

Research quality depends on transparent methods, prespecified outcomes, adequate sample sizes, and balanced reporting. Reviews should distinguish randomized trials from observational work and should consider both clinical significance and statistical uncertainty. Patient preferences and feasibility also matter, but they do not substitute for evidence of benefit.

References

Li, J., Zhang, K., Zhao, T., Huang, W., Hou, R., Wang, S., Zhao, M., & Guo, Y. (2024). Acupressure for depression: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Asian Journal of Psychiatry, 92, 103884. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajp.2023.103884

Lee, A., & Fan, L. T. (2009). Stimulation of the wrist acupuncture point P6 for preventing postoperative nausea and vomiting. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, (2), CD003281. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD003281.pub3

World Health Organization. (2013). WHO traditional medicine strategy: 2014–2023. World Health Organization. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241506096

National Center for Complementary and Integrative Health. (n.d.). Acupuncture: Effectiveness and safety. https://www.nccih.nih.gov/health/acupuncture-effectiveness-and-safety

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. (2021). Chronic pain (primary and secondary) in over 16s: Assessment of all chronic pain and management of chronic primary pain (NICE Guideline NG193). https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng193

Li, T., Li, X., Huang, F., Tian, Q., Fan, Z. Y., & Wu, S. (2021). Clinical efficacy and safety of acupressure on low back pain: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine, 2021, Article 8862399. https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/8862399

Liu, M., Tong, Y., Chai, L., Chen, S., Xue, Z., Chen, Y., & Li, X. (2021). Effects of auricular point acupressure on pain relief: A systematic review. Pain Management Nursing, 22(3), 268–280. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmn.2020.07.007

Song, H. J., Seo, H. J., Lee, H., Son, H., Choi, S. M., & Lee, S. (2015). Effect of self-acupressure for symptom management: A systematic review. Complementary Therapies in Medicine, 23(1), 68–78. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctim.2014.11.002

Editorial note: These are structured literature-review drafts. Verify the cited sources and expand the critical synthesis before journal submission. No original findings are claimed.